

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/615,750		07/08/2003	Tom R. Bartz	PETROS.P00	9884	
29150	7590	12/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEE & H.	-		ELISCA, F	ELISCA, PIERRE E		
421 W. RIVERSIDE AVE, STE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3621		
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	01			
		10/615,750	BARTZ ET AL.	<i>JU</i> -			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pierre E. Elisca	3621				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess			
THE - Extended after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 rc SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thind y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>30 September 2004</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
. 5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer. ,					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		· · · · · ·	, ,			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for to the control of the contr		} 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc						
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		·· —				
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National St	age			
	application from the International	, , , ,					
•	See the attached detailed Office action fo	i a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachme							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/615,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's response filed on 09/30/2004.

2. Claims 1-13 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-7, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Morrison et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,329,920) in view of Farrar et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,647,376).

As per claims 1-7 and 9-13 Morrison substantially discloses an apparatus and method for reading radio frequency identification transponders used for livestock identification and data collection, comprising

An RFID transponder dispenser/authorizer apparatus having a storage for a plurality of transponders, the plurality of transponders in the storage, each transponder having a unique ID code, at least one electronic data reader, and network access to a processor system (see., abstract, col 1, lines 24-54, col 2, lines 34-58, specifically col 4, lines 30-67);

.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,750

Art Unit: 3621

An RTDA application having customer data verification, electronic data, an interface to the RTDA apparatus to control dispensing of transponders (see., abstract, col 1, lines 24-54, col 2, lines 34-58, specifically col 4, lines 30-67);

Page 3

Whereby the RTDA reads customer electronic account data, dispenses a transponder to a customer, reads the transponder ID code (see., abstract, col 1, lines 24-54, col 2, lines 34-58, specifically col 4, lines 30-67, col 5, lines 19-60). It is to be noted that Morrison fails to explicitly disclose a processor that verifies an account validation. However, Farrar discloses a point-of-sale where a bank receives a transaction and MICR information, at step S305, and, at step S306, compares the MICR information against the DDA and verifies that the account has sufficient funds to cover the transaction (see., abstract, col 10, lines 17-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the radio frequency of Morrison by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Farrar because this would allow merchants to continue to verify customer's account then having sufficient funds to cover the transaction.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,750 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

December 07, 2004